## A Novel Preparative Method for Heterobimetallic $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ -(C,C)-Ketene Complexes, Fp-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-ML<sub>n</sub> [Fp = ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]

## Munetaka Akita,\* Atsuo Kondoh, and Yoshihiko Moro-oka\*

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 227, Japan

A variety of heterobimetallic  $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ -(C,C)-ketene complexes, Fp–CH $_2$ CO–ML $_n$ , are prepared through acylation of metal anions, ML $_n$ -, by Fp–CH $_2$ CO–CI.

Ketene species, of all the possible primary coupling products among surface species [e.g.  $CH_x$  (x = 0—3), CO], have been most frequently postulated as the origin of oxygenated products in the catalytic transformation of syngas. However, the well-known  $\mu$ -methylene complexes are not commonly carbonylated into  $\mu$ -ketene complexes presumably owing to the extraordinary stability of the dimetallacyclopropane skeleton. Here we report an indirect preparative method for the first examples of heterobimetallic  $\mu$ -ketene complexes.

μ-Ketene complexes were prepared by acylation of metal anions,  $ML_n^-$ , by iron-substituted acetyl chloride (2) which was generated *in situ* by the treatment of the carboxylic acid (1)<sup>4</sup> with oxalyl dichloride (Scheme 1). Purification by column chromatography gave μ-ketene complexes, (3)—(8), as yellow

to orange-red crystals accompanied by dimetallic complexes free from the ketene ligand, Fp-ML<sub>n</sub>. This method turned out to be widely applicable to all the metal anions used.

Spectral features (Table 1) assignable to Fp and  $ML_n$  moieties of (3)—(8) exhibit very close similarities to those of Fp–Me and MeCO– $ML_n$  possessing the partial structures of the alkyl side and the acyl side of  $\mu$ -ketene complexes, respectively. For example, the  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  n.m.r. spectra of (3)† are consistent with the formal structure, Fp<sub>A</sub>– $CH_2CO$ – $Fp_B$ , and the triplet  $^{13}C$  n.m.r. signal  $[^2J(C-H)$  3.0 Hz]

<sup>†</sup> Compound (3) ¹H n.m.r. (in  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  2.57 (2H, s), 4.17 (5H, s, Fp<sub>A</sub>), 4.37 (5H, s, Fp<sub>B</sub>); ¹³C n.m.r. (in  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  86.97, 216.95, other signals are reproduced in Table 1.

Table 1. Spectral data of Fp-CH<sub>2</sub>CO parts of heterobimetallic μ-ketene complexes, Fp-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-ML<sub>n.</sub><sup>a</sup>

	${}^{1}Hn.m.r./\delta$		<sup>13</sup> C n.m.r./δ				T / 1
$ML_n$	Cp(Fp)	CH <sub>2</sub> CO	Cp(Fp)	CO(Fp)	CH <sub>2</sub>	C=O	I.r./cm <sup>-1</sup> ν(C=O)
Fe(CO) <sub>2</sub> Cp (3)	4.17 (s)	2.57 (s)	85.34 (d, 179.4) <sup>b</sup>	217.19	30.01 (t, 136.1) <sup>b</sup>	253.28 (t. 3.0) <sup>c</sup>	1612
Fe(CO) <sub>2</sub> ( $\eta^5$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Me) (4)	4.18 (s)	2.62 (s)	85.33 (d, 180.7) <sup>b</sup>	217.35	29.92 (t, 136.1) <sup>b</sup>	255.07	1611
$Mo(CO)_2(PPh_3)Cp$ (5)	4.19 (s)	3.25 (d, 2.2) <sup>d</sup>	85.07 (d, 178.2) <sup>b</sup>	217.81	32.23 (t, 134.9) <sup>b</sup>	262.82 (d, 10.7) <sup>e</sup>	1585
Ni(CO)Cp (6)	4.11 (s)	2.39 (s)	85.43 (d, 180.7) <sup>b</sup>	216.39	26.28 (t, 138.0) <sup>b</sup>	234.68 (t, 3.7) <sup>c</sup>	1649
Mn(CO) <sub>5</sub> (7)	4.06 (s)	2.47 (s)	85.67g (d, 181.3)b	216.29	30.78 (t, 136.6) <sup>b</sup>	260.12	1581
Co(CO) <sub>3</sub> (PMe <sub>2</sub> Ph) (8)	4.19 (s)	2.85 (d, 2.2) <sup>d</sup>	85.67g (d, 179.0)b	216.29	29.29 (dt, 27.5,e 136.6b)	200.35 (d, 27.6) <sup>e</sup>	1620

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> <sup>1</sup>H (100 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (125 MHz) n.m.r. spectra were recorded in [ $^2$ H<sub>6</sub>]benzene unless otherwise noted and i.r. spectra in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Values in parentheses are multiplicity and coupling constant, J in Hz.  $^{b}$  <sup>1</sup>J(C-H).  $^{c}$  <sup>2</sup>J(C-H).  $^{d}$ J(H-P).  $^{c}$ J(C-P).  $^{f}$ Broad triplet (see footnote  $\ddagger$ ).  $^{g}$ In [ $^2$ H]chloroform.

$$Fp-CH_{2}CO-OH \xrightarrow{(COCl)_{2}} Fp-CH_{2}CO-Cl$$

$$(1) \qquad (2)$$

$$ML_{n} - / THF$$

Fp-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-ML<sub>n</sub>

$$\begin{array}{lll} ML_n = CpFe(CO)_2 & (3) & 27\% \\ (\eta^5 - C_5H_4Me)Fe(CO)_2 & (4) & 13\% \\ CpMo(CO)_2(PPh_3) & (5) & 35\% \\ CpNi(CO) & (6) & 37\% \\ Mn(CO)_5 & (7) & 74\% \\ Co(CO)_3(PMe_2Ph) & (8) & 66\% \end{array}$$

$$Fp = CpFe(CO)_2$$
,  $Cp = \eta^5 - C_5H_5$ ,  $THF = tetrahydrofuran$ 

## Scheme 1

appearing at  $\delta$  253.28 unequivocally designates the presence of the ketene ligand.‡ Four C=O stretching vibrations observed in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [(3) 2016, 1999, 1959, 1950 cm<sup>-1</sup>; (4) 2017, 1997, 1960, 1943 cm<sup>-1</sup>] are divided into two groups. A pair of absorptions of the highest and the third highest frequencies should be assigned to the  $\nu$ (C=O) of the Fp<sub>B</sub> part (cf. Fp-COMe 2015, 1960 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the remaining two absorptions to those of the Fp<sub>A</sub> part (cf. Fp-Me 2003, 1948 cm<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the  $\nu$ (C=O) absorption of the ketene ligand [(3) 1612 cm<sup>-1</sup>; (4) 1611 cm<sup>-1</sup>] is at lower frequencies by 35 cm<sup>-1</sup> when compared with that of Fp-COMe (1647 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, no indication of bridging carbonyl ligands ( $^{13}$ C n.m.r., i.r.) was observed which are characteristic

of dinuclear carbonyl cyclopentadienyl iron complexes with a metal-metal bond such as  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_4$  and  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3(\mu-CR_2)$ .

Similar observations for all the other heterobimetallic  $\mu$ -ketene complexes (5)—(8), Fp-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-ML<sub>n</sub> (Table 1), verify that (a) the metal centres, Fe and M, exist as mutually independent mononuclear states and no evidence for metalmetal interaction can be detected, (b) the  $\mu$ -ketene part acts as a dianionic bidentate ligand and makes two  $\sigma$  bonds between CH<sub>2</sub> and Fe and between CO and M, and (c) the shift of  $\nu$ (C=O) absorption indicates the contribution of a  $\pi$ -complex<sup>5</sup> in addition to the well-established oxycarbene structure<sup>6</sup> (equation 1).

Hydridic reduction (LiAlH<sub>4</sub>)<sup>7</sup> of (3), as an example, resulted in the formation of C-3 products [propane (5%) in the gas phase and propan-1-ol (48%) in the acidified liquid phase] as major components (total yield of other components <15%). Decarbonylation of (3) by Rh(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sup>8</sup> or irradiation did not produce a μ-methylene complex but a phosphine-substituted μ-ketene complex, Fp–CH<sub>2</sub>CO–Fe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cp (45%),§ or Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> (90%) and CH<sub>2</sub>=C=O which was trapped as ethyl acetate (94%) in the presence of EtOH (3 equiv.). Compound (3) was not susceptible to carbonylation to lead to a μ-malonyl complex under various conditions [CO (50 atm), 120 °C, 12 h, in toluene; CO (1 atm), oxidants or

<sup>‡</sup> While similar coupling is observed for (6) (Table 1), these signals for (5), (7), and (8) are obscured by the interaction with <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>55</sup>Mn, and <sup>59</sup>Co nuclei. The acyl carbon of (4) does not exhibit a sharp triplet signal but a very broad triplet-like signal (<sup>2</sup>J ca. 3 Hz) and the reason is not clear at the present time.

<sup>§</sup>  $^{1}$ H N.m.r. (in  $C_{6}D_{6}$ ) δ 2.81 [1H, dd, J(H–H) 11.1, J(P–H) 0.9 Hz, one of diastereotopic methylene protons], 2.96 [1H, d, J(H–H) 11.1 Hz, another methylene proton], 4.14 (5H, s, Fp), 4.44 [5H, d, J(P–H) 1.3 Hz, CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)], 6.92—7.12 (9H, m, Ph), 7.61—7.89 (6H, m, Ph). I.r. (KBr disk)  $\nu$ (C≡O) 1995, 1943 (Fp), 1903 [CpFe-(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)];  $\nu$ (C=O) 1553 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Lewis acids; +PR<sub>3</sub>, 48 h, in refluxing MeCN]<sup>9</sup> because of the electron-withdrawing character of the substituent adjacent to the migrating centre (FpCOCH<sub>2</sub>). Oxidative methanolysis of (3) (Br<sub>2</sub>, 1 atm CO, MeOH) afforded Br-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-OMe (87%) accompanied by a trace amount of a carbonylated product, MeO-OC-CH<sub>2</sub>CO-OMe (5%).

We are grateful to the Ministry of Education for financial

support of this research.

Received, 14th April 1986; Com. 492

## References

- G. Blyholder and P. H. Emmet, J. Phys. Chem., 1960, 64, 470; M. Ichikawa, K. Sekigawa, K. Shikakura, and M. Kawai, J. Mol. Catal., 1981, 11, 167; C. Masters, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 17, 61
- 2 W. A. Herrmann, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 20, 159.
- 3 μ-η<sup>2</sup>-(C,C)-ketene complexes: Y. C. Lin, J. C. Calabrese, and S. S. Wreford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 1679; E. D. Morrison,

- G. R. Steinmetz, G. L. Geoffroy, W. C. Fultz, and A. L. Rheingold, *ibid.*, p. 1679; *ibid.*, 1984, **106**, 4783; E. D. Morrison and G. L. Geoffroy, *ibid.*, 1985, **107**, 3541; μ-η²-(C,O)-ketene complexes: S. C. H. Ho, D. A. Straus, J. Armantrout, W. P. Schaefer, and R. H. Grubbs, *ibid.*, 1984, **106**, 2210; μ<sub>3</sub>-η³-(C,C,O)-ketene complex: J. S. Holmgren, J. R. Shapley, S. R. Wilson, and W. T. Pennington, *ibid.*, 1986, **108**, 508.
- 4 J. K. P. Ariyaratne, A. M. Bierrum, M. L. H. Green, M. Ishaq, C. K. Prout, and M. G. Swanwick, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 1309.
- 5 A. Cutler, S. Raghu, and M. J. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 77, 381.
- 6 R. B. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 1918.
- 7 A. Wong and J. D. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 210, 395.
- 8 E. J. Kuhlman and J. J. Alexander, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1979, 174, 81.
- 9 T. C. Forschner and A. R. Cutler, *Organometallics*, 1985, 4, 1247; T. Bodnar, G. Coman, S. La Croce, C. Lambert, K. Menard, and A. Cutler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, 103, 2471; R. H. Magnuson, R. Meirowitz, S. J. Zulu, and W. P. Giering, *Organometallics*, 1983, 2, 460; S. B. Butts, S. H. Strauss, E. M. Holt, R. E. Stimson, N. W. Alcock, and D. F. Shriver, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, 102, 5093.